



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

1438
EXAMINER
CHOI, PETER H
PAPER NUMBER
TALER NOMBER
UNIT 523

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,588	PAVONE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Choi	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/02.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/038,588 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a first office action upon examination of application number
 10/038588. Claims 1-12 are pending in the application and have been examined on the merits discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said opportunity" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For purposes of an art rejection, the Examiner has assumed that the "said opportunity" is a reference to the aforementioned option to open a retail outlet under a franchise arrangement. Correction is required. All claims dependent on claim 1 are also rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,588 Page 3

Art Unit: 3623

5. Claims 1, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the methodology of determining who is a qualified existing independent sales representative, and the process used to determine who is entitled to open a retail outlet. It is unclear what metrics or processes are used to evaluate the qualification of independent sales representatives. All claims dependent on these claims are also rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arganbright et al. (US Patent #6,980,962).

As per claim 1, Arganbright et al. teaches a method of operating a multi-level marketing company with independent sales representatives comprising the steps of:

(a) providing a multi-level network marketing company (individuals may register with the marketing system as a Client, a Member, or a Member Plus; a

Application/Control Number: 10/038,588

Art Unit: 3623

Member Plus qualifies to earn compensation by satisfying a sales qualification level and thereby qualifying as an Independent Business Owner) [Column 2, lines 26-27, 33-35; Figure 3];

Page 4

- (b) providing a tiered compensation level (different levels and structures of compensation for different product lines) for payment of said independent sales representatives (independent business owners earn bonuses or commissions based on the purchases by customers; distributors can be compensated in a variety of ways including, but not limited to, multilevel compensation, which includes compensation on sales made directly by the distributor as well as sales made by others, commissions on sales made only directly by the distributor, or other suitable types of commissions or compensation), movement between said tiers being a function of productivity of said independent sales representative (a Member Plus qualifies to earn compensation by satisfying a sales qualification level and thereby qualifying as an Independent Business Owner; in order to transition from Member Plus to IBO, each Member Plus must qualify as an IBO by earning a bonus income) [Column 2, lines 33-35; Column 10, lines 34-35, Column 11, lines 2-3, Column 23, lines 13-18, Column 31, lines 31-33; Figure 4; Table 1 of Column 35];
- (c) providing at one or more of said tiers an entitlement for said independent sales representatives to open a retail outlet of said network marketing company

 (Member Plus qualifying to become an IBO {the IBO performing as a " retail outlet", enabled to sell products and recruit additional members}) [Column 30,

lines 21-24];

(e) offering said opportunity to open a franchise retail outlet ("sponsoring", or introducing others to the marketing company's business opportunity and having them sign up as, for example, but not limited to, a Member or a Member Plus) to said qualified existing independent sales representatives [Column 23, lines 33-36].

Arganbright et al. does not explicitly teach the steps of:

(d) offering to persons who do not have an entitlement to open said retail outlet an option to open said retail outlet under a franchise arrangement, said option being conditioned upon a refusal by any qualified existing independent sales representative to open said franchise retail outlet.

Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the business arts that the option to open new retail outlets is restricted by franchisors and/or existing franchisees. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Arganbright et al. to include the step of restricting opening of retail outlets by franchisors and/or franchisees because the resulting combination would prevent the franchisor from being overly represented (by having an excessive number of franchisees) in a given area, because that would result in direct competition amongst franchisees for the same customers, and would also be detrimental to the ability of existing franchisees to remain successful.

As per claims 2 and 3, Arganbright et al. teaches the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, wherein said network marketing company sells goods and services ("bonus", compensation or income is paid to an IBO based upon sales of marketing system products and services) [Column 23, lines 19-25].

As per claim 4, Arganbright et al. teaches the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, wherein said productivity is an increase in sales by said independent sales representatives {as the total monthly point value of all merchandise purchased during a particular month increases, the greater the performance bonus percentage; the larger the sales volume, the larger the percentage of Performance Bonus the IBO can receive} [Table 1 on Column 35; Column 36, lines 4-5].

As per claim 5, Arganbright et al. teaches the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, wherein said productivity is measured by the sales or other qualifications or activities of additional independent sales representatives, said independent sales representative recruited into the network marketing company's network (IBOs can introduce customers to a membership buying opportunity and earn bonuses or commissions based on the purchases by those members; bonus may include compensation paid to an IBO based on the volume of product sales

to that IBO and IBOs personally sponsored by that IBO and Members and customers serviced by that IBO; IBO can receive a Performance Bonus which is calculated based upon the volume of sales made to the members within the IBO's personal group (a "group" is all IBOs who have been personally sponsored by an IBO in addition to all IBOs sponsored by those people and so on}) [Column 10, lines 34-35, Column 23, lines 3-7, 26-33, Column 36, lines 1-3].

As per claim 6, Arganbright et al. teaches the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, wherein said independent sales representatives are independent contractors {Independent Business Owners} [Column 10, lines 34-35].

As per claim 7, Arganbright et al. does not explicitly teach the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, wherein said persons who do not have an entitlement to open said franchise retail outlet are entities that are not current independent sales representatives of said network marketing company.

However, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the business arts that non-representatives of a company who lack minimum credentials and qualifications are not entitled to open a franchise retail outlet of said company. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Arganbright et al. to include the step of denying the option to open franchise retail

Page 8

outlets to entities that are not current sales representatives, because the resulting combination would enable franchisors review the qualifications of the potential franchisee to assess the likelihood of success, and to assess compatibility of philosophies between the franchisor and potential franchisee.

As per claim 8, Arganbright et al. does not explicitly teach the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, wherein said persons who do not have an entitlement to open said retail outlet are existing independent sales representatives of said network marketing company who have not yet reached said entitled tier of compensation.

Arganbright et al. teaches that a Member Plus must first qualify before becoming an IBO [Column 30, lines 21-23].

Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the business arts that agents/representatives/employees who have not performed at a certain level are not qualified to open franchise retail outlets. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Arganbright et al. to include the step of denying sales representatives who have not yet reached a certain tier of compensation to open a franchise retail outlet, because the resulting combination would ensure that prospective franchisees will be able to perform at adequate levels of sales to increase the likelihood of profitability.

As per claim 9, Arganbright et al. does not explicitly teach the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, wherein said option is geographically limited.

However, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the business arts for franchisors to limit or restrict the sales area/region/territory of franchisees. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Arganbright et al. to include the step of geographically limiting the ability of franchisees to open a retail outlet because the resulting combination would prevent the franchisor from being overly represented (by having an excessive number of franchisees) in a given area, because that would result in direct competition amongst franchisees for the same customers, and would also be detrimental to the ability of existing franchisees to remain successful.

As per claim 10, Arganbright et al. teaches the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, further comprising the step of granting said franchise to said qualified existing independent sales representative (Member Plus qualifying to become an IBO (the IBO performing as a "franchise", enabled to sell product and recruit additional members) [Column 30, lines 21-23].

Arganbright et al. does not explicitly teach the step of granting retail outlet

Art Unit: 3623

franchises. However, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the business arts for companies to expand their business presence by using franchisees that open retail outlets of the franchisor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Arganbright et al. to include the step of providing an entitlement to independent sales representatives to open retail outlets, because the resulting combination would result in establishing outlets where the franchisor can sell their goods and/or services in geographical areas that will attract customers.

As per claim 11, Arganbright et al. teaches the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, further comprising the step of granting said franchise to said persons who are not otherwise entitled to open said retail outlet under said tiered compensation level system.

Arganbright et al. does not explicitly teach the step of granting retail outlet franchises to unqualified persons. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the business arts to select the most qualified individuals to perform tasks (such as opening a retail outlet store), said individuals who may or may not meet preferred qualifications of assigning entity.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Arganbright et al. to include the step of granting

Application/Control Number: 10/038,588 Page 11

Art Unit: 3623

franchises to the most qualified applicants, regardless of whether or not they qualify with the preferred qualifications of the franchisor, because the resulting combination would enable the franchise to entrust new retail outlet stores in the hands of the best available applicants, and would further enable the franchisor to expand quicker, increasing its presence and market share in various locations and increasing revenue.

As per claim 12, Arganbright et al. teaches the method of operating a network marketing company recited in claim 1, wherein the goods and/or services sold are motor vehicles or parts or services related to motor vehicles (marketing company has several merchant partners or partner stores that participate and are affiliated with the E-Commerce site of the present invention, including an Auto Network link, a link to information pertaining to an auto network) [Column 59, line 56 – Column 60, line 27].

Furthermore, the type of product and/or services sold is merely intended use/field of endeavor. The claimed invention is practiced the same regardless of the type of product and/or services offered.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/038,588

Art Unit: 3623

Page 12

examiner should be directed to Peter Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272 6971.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1

February 21, 2006

Peter Choi Examiner Art Unit 3623

> SUSANNA DIZ SUSANNA M. DIAZ PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU3623